Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,937	GONZALES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Upton	1797	

All Participants:

(1) *Christopher Upton*.

(2) *Ricardo Unikel*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *22 December 2008*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1, 22, 26

Prior art documents discussed:
Wilcox

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend claims 1, 22 and 26 to limit the adsorbents to those disclosed by the specification, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christopher Upton/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)